	,		
Approved For	Release 2008/09/04	: CIA-RDP86M00886R000600060011-1	1
	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		

TRANSMITTAL SLIP	DATE
ROOM NO. BUILDING	
Copies has	nd-Carried
to indice	Suchon
Distribute	
_	

FORM NO. 241 REPLACES FORM 36-8 (47)
Approved For Release 2008/09/04 : CIA-RDP86M00886R000600060011-1

Executive Registry 84 - 1651

9 April 1984

MEMORANDUM FOR:	Information Systems Board Members	
nnou.		25X1
FROM:	Executive Secretary to the Board	. 20/(1
SUBJECT:	Minutes of 22 March 1984 Meeting of the Information Systems Board	.*
		•
•		
	formation Systems Board met on Thursday,	•
22 March 1984.		25X1
		25 X 1
none. He then a minutes which di expressed satisf	opened the meeting by asking for additions the minutes of the 24 February meeting. There wasked if Board members would prefer less detaile id not ascribe comments. The Board members gene faction with both the level of detail and the of the commentators in the minutes.	ere d rally
identification (of the commentators in the minutes.	25 X 1
3.	announced that negotiations were un	25X1
for	to be the contractor for the personal computer	25X1
requirements stu	udy.	25 X 1
		25X1
copies of the re	briefly summarized the Computer Security since the 24 February Board meeting. He distriewritten Assumptions and asked Board members to	working buted
Secretary,	omments or additions to the Board's Executive	25X1
	working Group would draft an inter-agency data s	
policy for prese	Working Group would draft an inter-agency data sentation at the June Board meeting after Board morked Assumptions.	embers
approve the rewo	orked Assumptions.	25X1
	·	
5.	then introduced C/SINAG/MLS	/oc 25X1
and Chairman of	the Executive Information System Working Group.	
Driei	fed the Board on the initial findings of the Wor	
	And the state of t	25X
	Property Control of the Control of t	25/
	E EO V	5-
•	SENDET V REG V	
Approved Fo	or Release 2008/09/04 : CIA-RDP86M00886R000600060011-1	

Group's survey of CIA management interest in an executive information system. briefly summarized the draft requirements paper 25X1 that the Working Group had disseminated prior to the meeting. In it, the Working Group had concluded that such a system would enhance communications between managers; permit easier tasking and review; and
allow managers to retrieve information from Agency personnel, budget, and administrative files. The issues unveiled by the survey included: the security of administrative information, the need for a system
managers could use easily, the comparative usefulness versus the cost
of creating such a system, and the choice between divergent technical
paths available. In response to a question from about the 25X1 availability of management-oriented files, explained that 25X1
the Working Group also had reviewed existing automated data bases.
The Working Group had found that most of the existing files containing
useful administrative information were not easily accessible for CIA
managers. He pointed out that easier access was possible without
building all new files using new front end technology such as
"Golden Tiger" (the Xerox 1100 project underway in ASG/DI). Such
technology is too expensive now, however, although the cost will decline dramatically in the next few years. concluded his25X1
decline dramatically in the next few yearsconcluded his 25X1 summary by asking that Board members send their comments, opinions,
and suggestions on the Working Group's draft to him by 29 March. 25X1
6. asked if there was less interest in executive 25X1
information systems among managers above the office director level. asked rhetorically what had precluded the development of 25X1
such a management information system; work had begun on providing this
- James and the contract of th
type of administrative support for managers in 1958. He noted that a
type of administrative support for managers in 1958. He noted that a substantial support effort would be required to keep such a system
type of administrative support for managers in 1958. He noted that a substantial support effort would be required to keep such a system current.
substantial support effort would be required to keep such a system current. 25X1
substantial support effort would be required to keep such a system current. 25X1 7. suggested several reasons why the Agency did not 25X1
substantial support effort would be required to keep such a system current. 25X1 7. suggested several reasons why the Agency did not 25X1 yet have an information system for managers. He noted this was
substantial support effort would be required to keep such a system current. 7. suggested several reasons why the Agency did not 25X1 yet have an information system for managers. He noted this was primarily because, when the files were first designed, lack of resources forced ODP to concentrate its efforts on use by the working
substantial support effort would be required to keep such a system current. 7. suggested several reasons why the Agency did not 25X1 yet have an information system for managers. He noted this was primarily because, when the files were first designed, lack of resources forced ODP to concentrate its efforts on use by the working level as the first priority. In answer to point. 25X1
substantial support effort would be required to keep such a system current. 7. suggested several reasons why the Agency did not 25X1 yet have an information system for managers. He noted this was primarily because, when the files were first designed, lack of resources forced ODP to concentrate its efforts on use by the working level as the first priority. In answer to point, 25X1 said the Working Group did not interview managers below th
substantial support effort would be required to keep such a system current. 7. suggested several reasons why the Agency did not 25X1 yet have an information system for managers. He noted this was primarily because, when the files were first designed, lack of resources forced ODP to concentrate its efforts on use by the working level as the first priority. In answer to point, 25X1 said the Working Group did not interview managers below th 20X1 level of office director. He agreed, however, that middle-level
substantial support effort would be required to keep such a system current. 7. suggested several reasons why the Agency did not 25X1 yet have an information system for managers. He noted this was primarily because, when the files were first designed, lack of resources forced ODP to concentrate its efforts on use by the working level as the first priority. In answer to point, 25X1 said the Working Group did not interview managers below th 25X1 level of office director. He agreed, however, that middle-level managers undoubtedly could use administrative information systems as
substantial support effort would be required to keep such a system current. 7. suggested several reasons why the Agency did not 25X1 yet have an information system for managers. He noted this was primarily because, when the files were first designed, lack of resources forced ODP to concentrate its efforts on use by the working level as the first priority. In answer to point, 25X1 said the Working Group did not interview managers below théoxilevel of office director. He agreed, however, that middle-level managers undoubtedly could use administrative information systems as well.
substantial support effort would be required to keep such a system current. 7. suggested several reasons why the Agency did not 25X1 yet have an information system for managers. He noted this was primarily because, when the files were first designed, lack of resources forced ODP to concentrate its efforts on use by the working level as the first priority. In answer to point, 25X1 said the Working Group did not interview managers below th 25X1 level of office director. He agreed, however, that middle-level managers undoubtedly could use administrative information systems as well. asked if links between different systems would be 25X1 needed. commented that the DA certainly needed access to 25X1 Agency-wide information.
7. suggested several reasons why the Agency did not 25X1 yet have an information system for managers. He noted this was primarily because, when the files were first designed, lack of resources forced ODP to concentrate its efforts on use by the working level as the first priority. In answer to point, 25X1 said the Working Group did not interview managers below the said the Working Group did not interview managers below the level of office director. He agreed, however, that middle-level managers undoubtedly could use administrative information systems as well. asked if links between different systems would be 25X1 needed. commented that the DA certainly needed access to 25X1 Agency-wide information.
7. suggested several reasons why the Agency did not 25X1 yet have an information system for managers. He noted this was primarily because, when the files were first designed, lack of resources forced ODP to concentrate its efforts on use by the working level as the first priority. In answer to point, 25X1 said the Working Group did not interview managers below the said the Working Group did not interview managers below the level of office director. He agreed, however, that middle-level managers undoubtedly could use administrative information systems as well. asked if links between different systems would be 25X1 needed. commented that the DA certainly needed access to 25X1 Agency-wide information.
substantial support effort would be required to keep such a system current. 7. suggested several reasons why the Agency did not 25X1 yet have an information system for managers. He noted this was primarily because, when the files were first designed, lack of resources forced ODP to concentrate its efforts on use by the working level as the first priority. In answer to point, 25X1 said the Working Group did not interview managers below th 25X1 level of office director. He agreed, however, that middle-level managers undoubtedly could use administrative information systems as well. asked if links between different systems would be 25X1 needed. commented that the DA certainly needed access to 25X1 Agency-wide information.
7. suggested several reasons why the Agency did not 25X1 yet have an information system for managers. He noted this was primarily because, when the files were first designed, lack of resources forced ODP to concentrate its efforts on use by the working level as the first priority. In answer to point, 25X1 said the Working Group did not interview managers below the level of office director. He agreed, however, that middle-level managers undoubtedly could use administrative information systems as well. asked if links between different systems would be 25X1 needed. commented that the DA certainly needed access to 25X1 Agency-wide information. commented that the DO needs 25X1 access to some non-DO information, but that security controls were essential to keep DO administrative and operational data from leaking out of the DO system.
substantial support effort would be required to keep such a system current. 7. suggested several reasons why the Agency did not 25X1 yet have an information system for managers. He noted this was primarily because, when the files were first designed, lack of resources forced ODP to concentrate its efforts on use by the working level as the first priority. In answer to point, 25X1 said the Working Group did not interview managers below the concentration of fice director. He agreed, however, that middle-level managers undoubtedly could use administrative information systems as well. asked if links between different systems would be 25X1 needed. commented that the DA certainly needed access to 25X1 Agency-wide information. commented that the DO needs 25X1 access to some non-DO information, but that security controls were essential to keep DO administrative and operational data from leaking out of the DO system.
substantial support effort would be required to keep such a system current. 7. suggested several reasons why the Agency did not 25X1 yet have an information system for managers. He noted this was primarily because, when the files were first designed, lack of resources forced ODP to concentrate its efforts on use by the working level as the first priority. In answer to point, 25X1 said the Working Group did not interview managers below th ^{25X1} level of office director. He agreed, however, that middle-level managers undoubtedly could use administrative information systems as well. asked if links between different systems would be 25X1 needed. commented that the DA certainly needed access to 25X1 Agency-wide information. commented that the DO needs 25X1 access to some non-DO information, but that security controls were essential to keep DO administrative and operational data from leaking out of the DO system. 8. said that DS&T was already past wondering whether to create such a system and was asking how to do it. In response to a question from about the utility of 25X1
substantial support effort would be required to keep such a system current. 7. suggested several reasons why the Agency did not 25X1 yet have an information system for managers. He noted this was primarily because, when the files were first designed, lack of resources forced ODP to concentrate its efforts on use by the working level as the first priority. In answer to said the Working Group did not interview managers below the said the Working Group did not interview managers below the said the Working Group did not interview managers below the said the working level of office director. He agreed, however, that middle-level managers undoubtedly could use administrative information systems as well. asked if links between different systems would be 25X1 needed. commented that the DA certainly needed access to 25X1 Agency-wide information. commented that the DO needs 25X1 access to some non-DO information, but that security controls were essential to keep DO administrative and operational data from leaking out of the DO system. 8. said that DS&T was already past wondering 25X1 whether to create such a system and was asking how to do it. In response to a question from about the utility of 25X1 the IMS personnel management system.
substantial support effort would be required to keep such a system current. 7. suggested several reasons why the Agency did not 25X1 yet have an information system for managers. He noted this was primarily because, when the files were first designed, lack of resources forced ODP to concentrate its efforts on use by the working level as the first priority. In answer to said the Working Group did not interview managers below the concentrate its efforts on use by the working level as the first priority. In answer to said the Working Group did not interview managers below the concentrate its efforts on use by the working level as the first priority. In answer to said the Working Group did not interview managers below the contained asked if links between different systems would be 25X1 needed. asked if links between different systems would be 25X1 needed. access to some non-DO information, but that security controls were essential to keep DO administrative and operational data from leaking out of the DO system. 8. said that DS&T was already past wondering whether to create such a system and was asking how to do it. In response to a question from about the utility of 25X1 the IMS personnel management system, briefly described 25X1 it, saying it was a useful tool but contained no large data bases.
substantial support effort would be required to keep such a system current. 7. suggested several reasons why the Agency did not 25X1 yet have an information system for managers. He noted this was primarily because, when the files were first designed, lack of resources forced ODP to concentrate its efforts on use by the working level as the first priority. In answer to said the Working Group did not interview managers below the 25X1 said the Working Group did not interview managers below the 25X1 level of office director. He agreed, however, that middle-level managers undoubtedly could use administrative information systems as well. asked if links between different systems would be 25X1 needed. commented that the DA certainly needed access to 25X1 Agency-wide information. commented that the DO needs 25X1 access to some non-DO information, but that security controls were essential to keep DO administrative and operational data from leaking out of the DO system. 8. said that DS&T was already past wondering whether to create such a system and was asking how to do it. In response to a question from about the utility of 25X1 the IMS personnel management system, briefly described it, saying it was a useful tool but contained no large data bases. He suggested that the Working Group survey the requirement for
substantial support effort would be required to keep such a system current. 7. suggested several reasons why the Agency did not 25X1 yet have an information system for managers. He noted this was primarily because, when the files were first designed, lack of resources forced ODP to concentrate its efforts on use by the working level as the first priority. In answer to said the Working Group did not interview managers below the concentrate its efforts on use by the working level as the first priority. In answer to said the Working Group did not interview managers below the concentrate its efforts on use by the working level as the first priority. In answer to said the Working Group did not interview managers below the contained asked if links between different systems would be 25X1 needed. asked if links between different systems would be 25X1 needed. access to some non-DO information, but that security controls were essential to keep DO administrative and operational data from leaking out of the DO system. 8. said that DS&T was already past wondering whether to create such a system and was asking how to do it. In response to a question from about the utility of 25X1 the IMS personnel management system, briefly described 25X1 it, saying it was a useful tool but contained no large data bases.

in situations involving coordination and when quick response to action	1
items was required. It is clear, however, that the Working Group	
needs to examine communications between directorates more closely.	25 X 1
9. the Working Group monitor, said that OD&E's	25 X 1 .
information system, based on the Wang Alliance, was the most elaborate	
of those seen by the Working Group.	25X1
how the system was working. said it had proved	
so helpful that OD&E "would revolt" if the system were removed. He	
described the system's uses accessing personnel information, rapid	
scheduling of meetings, communicating with contractors, and even	
conducting meetings via system mail while traveling. He concluded	
that the system had increased OD&E's productivity. He suggested that	
the way in which such a system affected the way Agency managers work	
with each other is a broader question than increasing management	
productivity. He cautioned that users should not begin to expect more	•
than an information system can provide and that we should not develop	
a system based on some least common denominator of needs.	25 X 1
10	25 X 1
agreed with praise of the	==:1:
capabilities of an executive information system and felt that the halting of "traded telephone calls" was a major bonus.	
cold the personnel information on the open	25 X 1
Office of Personnel data bases and maintained by OD&Fig administration	. •
Office of Personnel data bases and maintained by OD&E's administrative staff. remarked that the PRIM system now under	25 X 1
development will also permit such use. observed that	25 X 1
similar software programs were available commercially.	25 X 1
mentioned one side benefit of his information system secretaries	
were being used more productively, not merely as typists, since	
original drafts could be edited and printed out in seconds.	25 X 1
11 Cawanal Daniel I	
11. Several Board members suggested that the Working Group should	1
look at some existing management information systems to identify uses costs, and results.)EV1
Aircraft in Los Angeres where all Hughes executives use IBM personal	2 3
	25 X 1
	25 X 1
mentioned the automated ten-year schedule of business and personal	2 3
events kept for executives of IBM's training school	25 X 1
suggested that not all information should be available on such systems	
and reminded members that the perception of what is a necessary	•
capability often changes once such a capability is available.	2524
Surmised that, despite industry's increasing use of	25 X 1
executive information systems, the high cost might be prohibitive for	25.74
the Agency for several more years.	25 X 1
12. thanked Board members for their holoful	25X1
thanked Board members for their helpful comments. He asked members to begin thinking about ways to overcome a	
variety of information mandling resource problems facing the Agency	-
He outlined a few of these, including:	
the potential for severe reduction in funding growth	•
ocyclid fi 1909, at the same time this year's Addendum to the Cia	
Strategic Plan emphasizes the need for improvement in our	

information handling services and attention to our competitive position in the job market: -- the FY 1986 guidance from the DCI which increases the pressure to automate and improve communications capabilities; and -- the rise in ODP's budget despite declining per capita costs for ADP and increases in capabilities for the same price. 25X1 announced that the Information Services Planning 25X1 Working Group would present its initial report to the Board on the Agency's planning needs at the April meeting. 25X1 said the report of the Information Handling Systems Architect (November 1982) remained the basic framework and useable compendium of information and ideas. He added, however, that each of the Directorates would have to do some individual and cooperative planning to accomplish initial automation goals and to handle the information explosion coming in the next few years. 25X1 14. 25X1 said he and the Executive Committee needed the Board's assistance to find solutions to these and other information handling problems. He noted that our commitment to modernize and overcome our deficiencies is real, but we must manage the process. Many of the things we need to do may have to be postponed, or we will have to find new, more creative ways of getting our goals accomplished. He asked Board members to give him their assistance and 25X1 their ideas. 15. then adjourned the meeting. The next meeting is 25X1 scheduled for Friday, 27 April, at 1330 hours. Please note that the meeting will be held in the DCI Conference Room (7D64, Headquarters) The topic will be the interim report of the Information once again. Services Planning Working Group on a structure for planning information systems for CIA. (U) 25X1 Distribution. 1 - Compt 1 - D/ODP- D/OIS - D/OS D/OCR - D/OD&E - D/OSO - D/NPIC

25X1

- D/OC - D/ORD - C/IMS - C/ASG - ER

- Planning Staff